

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/758,743	TURSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JOHN HEFFINGTON	2172	

All Participants:

(1) JOHN HEFFINGTON.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Jacob Rohwer.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2011

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103 rejections of claims 1, 25 and 28

Claims discussed:

1, 25, 28

Prior art documents discussed:

Ortega

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested amendments to the independent claims to put the claims in better condition for allowance as shown in the examiner amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Boris Pesin/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2172

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)